

The Clinical Research Office of the Endourological Society (CROES) multicentre randomised trial of narrow band imaging–assisted transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) versus conventional white light imaging–assisted TURBT in primary non–muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients
CROES Narrow Band Imaging Global Study Group

DOI:

[10.1016/j.eururo.2016.03.053](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2016.03.053)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

CROES Narrow Band Imaging Global Study Group 2016, 'The Clinical Research Office of the Endourological Society (CROES) multicentre randomised trial of narrow band imaging–assisted transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) versus conventional white light imaging–assisted TURBT in primary non–muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients: trial protocol and 1-year results', *European urology*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 506-515.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eururo.2016.03.053>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Download date: 05. May. 2023

The Clinical Research Office of the Endourology Society (CROES) multicentre randomised trial of narrow band imaging-assisted transurethral resection (TURBT) versus conventional white light-assisted TURBT in primary non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients: trial protocol and 1-year results

Seiji Naito, Ferran Algaba, Marko Babjuk, Richard T. Bryan, Ying-Hao Sun, Luc Valiquette, Jean de la Rosette on behalf of the CROES NBI Global Study Group

Department of Urology, Harasanshin Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan (S Naito MD)

Department of Pathology, Fundació Puigvert-University Autonomous, Barcelona, Spain (F Algaba MD)

Department of Urology, Faculty Hospital Motol, 2nd Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Praha, Czech Republic (M Babjuk MD)

Institute of Cancer Genomic Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK (RT Bryan PhD)

Department of Urology, Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai, China (Y-H Sun MD)

Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, Montreal, Canada (L Valiquette MD)

Department of Urology, AMC University Hospital, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (J de la Rosette MD)

Correspondence to:

Professor Jean de la Rosette, Department of Urology, AMC University Hospital

Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ Amsterdam Z-O, The Netherlands. Tel: +31-20-5666030.

Fax: +31-20-5669585. Email: j.j.delarosette@amc.uva.nl.

Summary: 299

Body text: 2895

1 **References: 27**

2 Key words: narrow band imaging; white light imaging; tumour recurrence;
3 transurethral resection of bladder tumour; non-muscle invasive bladder cancer

4

Abstract

Background: White light (WL) is the established imaging modality for transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT). Narrow band imaging (NBI) is a promising addition.

Objectives: To compare 12-mo recurrence rates following TURBT using NBI versus WL guidance.

Design, setting, and participants: The Clinical Research Office of the Endourology Society (CROES) conducted a prospective, randomised, single-blind, multicentre study. Patients with primary non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) were randomly assigned 1:1 to TURBT guided by NBI or by WL.

Intervention: TURBT for NMIBC using NBI or WL.

Outcome measurements and statistical analysis: 12-mo recurrence rates were compared by chi-square tests and survival analyses.

Results and limitations: Of the 965 patients enrolled in the study, 481 patients underwent WL-assisted TURBT and 484 patients received NBI-assisted TURBT. Of these, 294 and 303 patients, respectively, completed 12-mo follow-up, with recurrence rates of 27.1% and 25.4%, respectively ($p = 0.585$, Intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis). In patients at low risk for disease recurrence, recurrence rates at 12-mo were significantly higher in the WL group compared with the NBI group: 27.3% vs 5.6% ($p = 0.002$, ITT analysis). Although TURBT took longer on average with NBI plus WL compared with WL alone (38.1 min vs 35.0 min; $p = 0.039$, ITT; 39.1 vs 35.7 min; $p = 0.047$, Per protocol (PP) analysis), lesions were significantly more often visible with NBI than with WL ($p = 0.033$). The frequency and severity of adverse events were similar in both treatment groups. Possible limitations were: lack of

1 uniformity of surgical resection, data on smoking status, central pathology review,
2 and specific date regarding adjuvant intravesical instillation therapy.

3 **Conclusions:** NBI and WL guidance achieved similar overall recurrence rates 12-mo
4 after TURBT in patients with NMIBC. NBI-assisted TURBT significantly reduced the
5 likelihood of disease recurrence in low-risk patients.

6
7 **Patient summary:** Using a narrow band imaging technique might provide greater
8 detection of bladder tumours and subsequent treatment, leading to reduced
9 recurrence in low-risk patients

1. Introduction

The standard intervention following initial diagnosis of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) is transurethral resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) with white light (WL) imaging guidance [1]. However, small bladder tumours, such as flat malignant lesions (carcinoma *in situ*; CIS) or small papillary tumours, can be missed [2,3].

These undetected or incompletely resected tumours with diffuse borders can recur, with some becoming invasive, which emphasises the need for improved techniques to detect NMIBC. Moreover, some authors consider the majority of early recurrences to result from initial surgical failure [4].

Research has focused on improved methods of detection, including narrow band imaging (NBI), a high-resolution endoscopic optical technique. Filtering white light into two bandwidths of 415 and 540 nm, which are absorbed by haemoglobin, enhances the contrast between normal urothelium and hypervascular cancer tissue. NBI enhances the submucosal capillaries and, because bladder tumours are well vascularised with densely arranged irregular vessels, the contrast between tumours and normal mucosa is improved.

NBI has proved more effective than conventional WL cystoscopy [5,6]. Currently, there is limited experience with NBI in detecting bladder cancer but early results are encouraging [7–9]. The aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy and safety of TURBT using NBI or WL cystoscopy in NMIBC. We hypothesize that use of NBI at the time of TURBT will decrease recurrence rates at one year, compared to WL cystoscopy alone.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and participants

The CROES NBI Study was a prospective, randomised, single-blind, multicentre trial, which was conducted at 26 specialist urological centres in 16 countries from August 2010 to October 2014. The study included patients aged 18 yr or older scheduled for treatment of a primary (initially diagnosed) NMIBC; those eligible for inclusion were patients scheduled for TURBT with papillary bladder tumour(s) detected by imaging or cystoscopy or those scheduled for random biopsies and/or TURBT because of bladder lavage fluid or voided urine cytology with malignant (G3) cells. Exclusion criteria included: the presence of tumours in the upper urinary tract; muscle invasive bladder tumour; previous irradiation of the pelvis; gross haematuria (defined as heavy bladder bleeding resulting in marked amounts of blood in the urine) which might interfere with cystoscopy at the time of TURBT; participation in other clinical studies with investigational drugs either concurrently or within the last 30 d; pregnancy; and any condition associated with a risk of poor protocol compliance (for example patients with severe comorbidity interfering with thorough follow-up).

Patients eligible for the study were contacted through medical staff and provided with verbal and written information. All participants were required to sign informed consent forms. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of each participating centre and carried out according to the guidelines of good clinical practice [1]. The trial was registered in The Netherlands Trial Register (NTR3645). All data were collected through an on-line electronic data management system (<https://www.croes-dms.org>). Access to this secure system was restricted to each centre investigator and CROES data managers and enabled by individual passwords.

2.2. Randomisation

After enrolment, patients were randomly allocated in a 1:1 ratio to parallel control (WL) and intervention (NBI) arms. Randomisation was conducted by means of a concealed computer-generated random sequence of numbers using permuted blocks and stratified for: multiplicity (single or multiple tumours), macroscopic findings (papillary or solid/flat tumour) and age (either \geq or $<$ 40 yr). The process was implemented through the on-line data management system. Patients were blinded for the treatment arm they were randomised to.

2.3. Procedures and follow-up

In the out patients clinic, patients diagnosed as bladder tumour using WL cystoscopy were evaluated for inclusion and exclusion criteria, and preoperative data were collected, including age, gender, weight, height, ethnicity, anticoagulation therapy, co-morbidity, symptoms, urinalysis, urine culture and cytology, and upper urinary tract imaging results.

In the operating room, eligible patients in both arms of the study underwent cystoscopy evaluation of the bladder and indication of all tumours using WL cystoscopy. Registration of lesions on the bladder chart included presence of lesion, type (papillary, or flat), number of lesions and location (bladder neck anterior, trigone, around ureteric orifice right, around ureteric orifice left, posterior floor, right lateral wall, cranial wall, left lateral wall, dome, anterior bladder wall and bladder neck posterior). Patients in the WL arm were then treated according to the normal hospital routines, i.e. complete resection of all papillary lesions, and biopsy and subsequent complete fulguration of all flat lesions including suspicious areas with WL. In patients

of the NBI arm, following documentation of tumours visualized under WL and prior to resection, the bladder tumours were remapped on the bladder diagram under NBI. Then, complete resection of all papillary lesions, and biopsies and subsequent complete fulguration of all flat lesions including suspicious areas were conducted with NBI. Operative factors recorded for all patients included the date and duration of surgery, antibiotic prophylaxis, type of resection, visibility of lesion, performance of routinely random biopsies, tumour location and intraoperative complications. Postoperatively, the duration of catheterization and hospital stay, antibiotics use, pathological characteristics and complications were noted. Surveillance WL cystoscopy was planned to be done in all patients at the 3- and 12-mo follow-up visits, with histological confirmation to assess recurrence. If recurrent CIS was suspected by urine cytology, biopsy was performed to confirm CIS histologically

2.4. Outcomes

The primary outcome measure was recurrence rate at 1 yr. A recurrence was defined as the new occurrence of a bladder cancer at the same or different site to the index cancer. Secondary outcomes were tumour recurrence at first follow-up (3-mo post-TURBT) or presence of recurrent/residual tumour at previously resected locations (within 60 d of initial TURBT). Basically, re-resection was performed within 60 d of initial TURBT with WL in both groups for patients with pT1 tumour, whose initial resected specimen did not contain sufficient muscle layer and those who were suspected of incomplete resection regardless of tumour stage. The local pathologist conducted histological assessment of both biopsied tissue and samples from

resected lesions. The study also assessed perioperative morbidity (within the first 30 d of TURBT) using the Clavien-Dindo score [10].

Adverse events (AEs) were assessed and recorded for 7 d after the initial TURBT procedure or until resolution. They were also recorded at the 3- and 12-mo follow-up. AE severity was graded on a 5-point scale according to the US National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v3.0 [11].

2.5. Statistical analyses

The expected recurrence rate in the WL-assisted TURBT group was 35% [12]. To detect a clinically relevant difference in recurrence detection rates of $\geq 10\%$ at a 5% significance level and a power of 80%, the required sample size per treatment was calculated to be 329 patients (658 patients in total). To allow for a non-compliance rate of 25% and a 15% loss of patients who could be diagnosed with a pT0 or \geq pT2 tumour later in the process, and assuming no crossover and no differential loss to follow-up between arms, the calculated sample size was increased proportionately resulting in a target recruitment of 946 patients.

The primary efficacy analysis was performed on the intention-to-treat (ITT) population, which included those participants who were correctly randomised and were willing to participate in the study. A per protocol (PP) analysis was also performed on the study population who were correctly randomised, were willing to participate in the study and had no protocol violations. Pearson's chi-square analysis was used for dichotomous or categorical variables. When the Pearson's chi-square assumptions were not met, the Fisher's exact test was used. Analysis of variance

(ANOVA) was used for continuous variables to compare characteristics and outcomes between the two groups. Survival analysis was performed using the log-rank test, and shown in Kaplan Meier curves; both analyses used patient information up to the point at which censoring occurred. As all data were not available for every patient, last observation carried forward (LOCF) was also applied. The level of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Percentages were calculated and analyses performed on available data. A sub-analysis was conducted according to disease status, including low, intermediate and high-risk European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer risk classification [12].

3. Results

Between August 2010 and October 2014, 981 patients at 28 centres in 14 countries (Appendix 1) were assigned to the two study groups. The trial profile is shown as a CONSORT flow diagram in Figure 1. Sixteen patients at two centres were subsequently excluded because the quality of the data could not be assured; shortly after the start of the study these centres changed to using equipment other than NBI. The ITT population thus comprised 481 patients randomised to the WL group and 484 patients randomised to the NBI group. Following histopathological examination, 66 patients had no available pT, or pT could not be assessed (pTx). 78 patients were excluded for muscle-invasive disease (category pT2 or higher) and absence of disease (pT0) was found in 77 patients who were then also excluded. One further patient received no intervention (surgery), leaving 365 patients in the WL group and 379 patients in the NBI group available for the PP analysis.

The baseline characteristics of the patients included in this study are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in terms of tumour location, tumour number and tumour size between the patients in the WL and the NBI groups for the ITT and PP populations.

Surgery time including resection time and time for mapping out the bladder tumour was significantly longer if NBI guidance was used compared with WL ($p = 0.039$, ITT); this difference was also significant in the PP analysis ($p = 0.047$) (Table 2). A lesion was significantly more often visible in NBI compared with WL ($p = 0.033$, ITT). Tumour location in the dome region was significantly more frequent in the NBI group (13.9%) compared with the WL group (9.6%) for the ITT populations ($p = 0.041$). There were no other significant differences between the two groups in regard to tumour characteristics, operative factors or peri-operative complications (Table 2).

LOCF data on the frequency of re-resection (re-TURBT) and recurrence at re-TURBT are shown in Table 3 and indicate a similar frequency in the two treatment groups. A significantly lower rate of recurrence was found in low-risk patients (pTa, Grade 1, < 30 mm, and no CIS) [1] in the NBI group compared with the WL group, which was evident after 3-mo (0 vs 15.1%; $p = 0.006$) and 12-mo (5.6% vs 27.3%; $p = 0.002$) of follow-up. Similar proportions of patients completed 12-mo follow-up ($n = 294$ [62.6%] WL group; $n = 303$ [61.1%] NBI group, ITT analysis). Recurrence rates reported were 27.1% ($n = 109$) and 25.4% ($n = 104$) in the WL and NBI groups, respectively ($p = 0.585$; ITT analysis).

Analysis of recurrence vs time showed diverging recurrence-free survival rates for low-risk patients in the two treatment groups from 60–70 d follow-up (Fig. 2B), in

contrast to the similar rates found throughout follow-up in intermediate-risk, high-risk and all-patient groups (Fig. 2C, 2D and 2A, respectively).

There were no significant differences between treatment groups in the number and severity of AEs (Appendix Table 1).

4. Discussion

Overall, this study found no difference in tumour recurrence between NBI-assisted TURBT and WL-assisted TURBT at 12-mo follow-up, but did find a significantly lower rate of recurrence in low-risk patients. Most of the recurrence in these patients may be due to small tumours, which are often overlooked during the TUR. We hypothesise that NBI provided greater visualisation of such overlooked lesions, therefore reducing the recurrence rate in low-risk patients. The better 3-mo recurrence-free rate in the NBI group for low risk patients may be reflective of a more complete superficial (but not deep) resection by using NBI. In contrast, the recurrence in intermediate or high-risk patients may be caused by not only development of overlooked small tumours but also regrowth of high-grade tumour cells disseminated during TUR [13]. NBI, through more precise detection and resection of small tumours, may be able to decrease recurrence rate, but it is unlikely to influence regrowth of disseminated high-grade tumour cells. Consequently, the benefit of NBI was clear in the low-risk group but not in intermediate- or high-risk groups.

Compared with published studies, the present study has a fundamental difference in its design. The inclusion of only those patients with primary tumours enables the

evaluation of a specific test in a given population. In addition, previous studies have primarily included patients with (highly) recurrent papillary tumours that are overrepresented by low risk disease. In contrast, in the present study, there was a larger patient population with intermediate- and high-risk disease (equally distributed in the WL and NBI groups). In line with this, in the present work the overall recurrence rate was significantly lower than was initially expected.

The CROES Council approved all centres participating in this study and the principal investigator at each study site was a member of the Endourological Society. This high standard of uro-oncological engagement and expertise within the study is likely to have ensured efficient tumour identification and thorough resection (particularly of larger tumours) with either imaging modality. Surgeon experience and technical ability both affect the clinical outcome (including recurrence) after TURBT of new NMIBC [14], although the reliability of bladder tumour evaluation by NBI cystoscopy has been reported to be unaffected by urologists' prior experience [15]. Furthermore, it is considered within the field that familiarity with image-enhancement modalities (such as NBI) improves a surgeon's ability to detect small lesions with WL alone. We interpret the emergence of a difference in recurrence rate between NBI-assisted TURBT and WL-assisted TURBT only in low-risk patients as indicative of the higher efficacy of NBI in visualising smaller tumours, but we accept the possibility of observer bias e.g. double mapping favouring NBI as a limitation of this single-blind study. Furthermore, in recent years, the quality of WL imaging equipment has also improved considerably.

1 Assuming recurrence was caused by a tumour undetected during the first resection
2 [13], the difference in rate of recurrence in low-risk patients between treatments
3 evident at 3-mo post-intervention was unexpected. By including patients with primary
4 NMIBC, we anticipated that many tumours undetected initially would still be too small
5 to detect 3-mo after initial TURBT and that recurrences in this patient group would be
6 detectable only after longer term follow-up.

7
8 The reduction in recurrence rate in low-risk patients has obvious clinical benefits and
9 is likely to be accompanied by favourable economic effects; lower recurrence rates
10 with NBI compared with WL would reduce the need for further TURBTs and the
11 frequency of surveillance [16]. Furthermore, lesions initially overlooked by WL that
12 subsequently become visible at the 3-mo check cystoscopy would be incorrectly
13 classed as recurrence. Management of patients with recurrence includes closer
14 surveillance and further TURBT, resulting in increasing cost compared with
15 identification during the preliminary examination with NBI. While the cost of the
16 TURBT procedure is likely to be similar for NBI and WL, longer operating room use
17 with NBI procedures in certain patients would add to resource use costs. The
18 additional time needed is only an average of 3 min per procedure, which can be
19 balanced against the lack of a significant difference in the frequency and the severity
20 of grades of peri-operative complications and AEs in the two treatment groups.

21
22 Several previous studies have reported improved detection of bladder tumours with
23 NBI cystoscopy compared with standard WL cystoscopy [8,17–21]. Recent meta-
24 analyses of clinical trials in bladder cancer show that NBI provides comparable or
25 higher diagnostic precision than WL [9,22]. Li et al calculated that an additional 17%

1 of patients (95% CI 10–25%) and an additional 24% of tumours (95% CI 17–31%)
2 were detected by NBI [22]. The small number of clinical trials that have reported
3 disease recurrence show that the use of NBI vs WL improves recurrence rates by
4 15–32%, with time to recurrence of 29 and 13-mo, respectively [13,23–25]. The
5 present study addresses the relative lack of prospective recurrence data for NBI.
6 Further support for the benefits of improved visualisation of bladder tumours can be
7 found in some studies of photodynamic diagnosis (PDD), which show recurrence-free
8 rates at 12-mo 11–27% higher with PDD than with WL and the difference in outcome
9 between the two techniques extended over several years [16,26]. In other studies,
10 however, higher tumour detection rates with PDD did not translate into lower rates of
11 NMIBC recurrence [27].

12
13 In addition to earlier mentioned limitations, other possible limitations of the present
14 study include: 1. the lack of documentation of smoking status/ongoing environmental
15 exposures that may increase the risk of urothelial carcinoma; 2. the lack of central
16 review pathology; 3. Lack of documentation in the use of adjuvant intravesical
17 instillation therapy. Furthermore, we are aware of the substantial number of patients
18 who did not receive the 3 or 12-mo follow-up cystoscopies and loss to follow-up in
19 this study. However, this was taken into account in the sample size calculation.

20
21 In summary, this large, prospective, multicentre, randomised clinical trial in patients
22 with primary NMIBC showed that, while NBI and WL guidance achieved similar
23 overall recurrence rates after TURBT at 12-mo follow-up, NBI-assisted TURBT
24 significantly reduced disease recurrence in low-risk patients (pTa, Grade 1, <30 mm,

1 and no CIS). This finding supports the use of NBI guidance as an alternative to the
2 current standard approach involving WL.

3

4

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Fig. 1** – Study flow chart. ITT = intention-to-treat. PP = per protocol. No cystoscopy:
3 follow-up performed without cystoscopy. Not performed: follow-up at certain moment
4 not performed. Lost to follow-up: Patient received no further follow-up at all. Not
5 available: no data available.

6 **Fig. 2** – Survival curves for no recurrence over a 12-mo follow-up period for the
7 intention-to-treat population and risk subgroups following white-light- (WLI) or narrow
8 band imaging- (NBI) assisted transurethral resection of bladder tumour. (A) all
9 patients; (B) low-risk patients; (C) intermediate-risk patients; and (D) high-risk
10 patients. Patients were stratified into risk groups using tumour characteristics
11 according to European Association of Urology Guidelines [1]. CI = confidence
12 interval.

13

14 **Funding:** The CROES NBI Global Study was supported by an unrestricted
15 educational grant from Olympus. Alette Spruiensma provided statistical support for the
16 analyses reported in this manuscript.

17

18

References

- [1] Babjuk M, Böhle A, Burger M, et al. Guidelines on non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer (Ta, T1 and CIS). © European Association of Urology 2015. Available at: <http://uroweb.org/guideline/non-muscle-invasive-bladder-cancer/>.
- [2] Kriegmair M, Baumgartner R, Knüchel R, Stepp H, Hofstädter F, Hofstetter A. Detection of early bladder cancer by 5-aminolevulinic acid induced porphyrin fluorescence. J Urol 1996;155:105–9.
- [3] Jichlinski P, Forrer M, Mizeret J, et al. Clinical evaluation of a method for detecting superficial surgical transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder by light induced fluorescence of protoporphyrin IX following the topical application of 5-aminolevulinic acid: preliminary results. Lasers Surg Med 1997;20:402–8.
- [4] Bryan RT, Collins SI, Daykin MC, Zeegers MP, Cheng KK, Wallace DM, Sole GM. Mechanisms of recurrence of Ta/T1 bladder cancer. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2010;92:519–24.
- [5] Mannath J, Subramanian V, Hawkey CJ, Ragunath K. Narrow band imaging for characterization of high grade dysplasia and specialized intestinal metaplasia in Barrett's esophagus: a meta-analysis. Endoscopy 2010;42:351–9.
- [6] Pasha SF, Leighton JA, Das A, et al. Comparison of the yield and miss rate of narrow band imaging and white light endoscopy in patients undergoing screening or surveillance colonoscopy: a meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 2012;107:363–70.
- [7] Bryan RT, Billingham LJ, Wallace DM. Narrow-band imaging flexible cystoscopy in the detection of recurrent urothelial cancer of the bladder. BJU Int 2008;101:702–5.

- [8] Naselli A, Introini C, Bertolotto F, Spina B, Puppo P. Narrow band imaging for detecting residual/recurrent cancerous tissue during second transurethral resection of newly diagnosed non-muscle-invasive high-grade bladder cancer. *BJU Int* 2010;105:208–11.
- [9] Zheng C, Lv Y, Zhong Q, Wang R, Jiang Q. Narrow band imaging diagnosis of bladder cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJU Int* 2012;110:E680–7.
- [10] Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* 2004;240:205–13.
- [11] National Cancer Institute. Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v3.0. (CTCAE), DCTD, NCI, NIH, DHHS: 9 August 2006. Available at http://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic_applications/docs/ctcae_v3.pdf.
- [12] Sylvester RJ, van der Meijden AP, Oosterlinck W, et al. Predicting recurrence and progression in individual patients with stage Ta T1 bladder cancer using EORTC risk tables: a combined analysis of 2596 patients from seven EORTC trials. *Eur Urol* 2006;49:466–5.
- [13] Cauberg EC, Mamoulakis C, de la Rosette JJ, de Reijke TM. Narrow band imaging-assisted transurethral resection for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer significantly reduces residual tumour rate. *World J Urol* 2011;29:503–9.
- [14] Mariappan P, Finney SM, Head E, et al. Edinburgh Urological Cancer Group. Good quality white-light transurethral resection of bladder tumours (GQ-WLTURBT) with experienced surgeons performing complete resections and

obtaining detrusor muscle reduces early recurrence in new non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: validation across time and place and recommendation for benchmarking. BJU Int 2012;109:1666–73.

[15]Herr H, Donat M, Dalbagni G, Taylor J. Narrow-band imaging cystoscopy to evaluate bladder tumours--individual surgeon variability. BJU Int 2010;106:53–5.

[16]Rink M, Babjuk M, Catto JW, et al. Hexyl aminolevulinate-guided fluorescence cystoscopy in the diagnosis and follow-up of patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a critical review of the current literature. Eur Urol 2013;64:624–38.

[17]Tatsugami K, Kuroiwa K, Kamoto T, et al. Evaluation of narrow-band imaging as a complementary method for the detection of bladder cancer. J Endourol 2010;24:1807–11.

[18]Geavlete B, Jecu M, Multescu R, Geavlete P. Narrow-band imaging cystoscopy in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a prospective comparison to the standard approach. Ther Adv Urol 2012;4:211–7.

[19]Jecu M, Geavlete B, Muțescu R, et al. NBI cystoscopy in routine urological practice - from better vision to improve therapeutic management. J Med Life 2014;7:282–6.

[20]Cauberg EC, Kloen S, Visser M, et al. Narrow band imaging cystoscopy improves the detection of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Urology 2010;76:658–63.

[21]Chen G, Wang B, Li H, Ma X, Shi T, Zhang X. Applying narrow-band imaging in complement with white-light imaging cystoscopy in the detection of urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Urol Oncol 2013;31:475–9.

- 1 [22]Li K, Lin T, Fan X, Duan Y, Huang J. Diagnosis of narrow-band imaging in
2 non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
3 Int J Urol 2013;20:602–9.
- 4 [23]Herr HW, Donat SM. Reduced bladder tumour recurrence rate associated with
5 narrow-band imaging surveillance cystoscopy. BJU Int 2011;107:396–8.
- 6 [24]Montanari E, de la Rosette J, Longo F, Del Nero A, Laguna P. Narrow-band
7 imaging (NBI) and white light (WLI) transurethral resection of the bladder in the
8 treatment of non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. Arch Ital Urol Androl
9 2012;84:179–83.
- 10 [25]Naselli A, Introini C, Timossi L, et al. A randomized prospective trial to assess
11 the impact of transurethral resection in narrow band imaging modality on non-
12 muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrence. Eur Urol 2012;61:908–13.
- 13 [26]Witjes JA, Redorta JP, Jacqmin D, et al. Hexaminolevulinate-guided
14 fluorescence cystoscopy in the diagnosis and follow-up of patients with non-
15 muscle-invasive bladder cancer: review of the evidence and recommendations.
16 Eur Urol 2010;57:607–14.
- 17 [27]O'Brien T, Ray E, Chatterton K, Khan MS, Chandra A, Thomas K. Prospective
18 randomized trial of hexylaminolevulinate photodynamic-assisted transurethral
19 resection of bladder tumour (TURBT) plus single-shot intravesical mitomycin C
20 vs conventional white-light TURBT plus mitomycin C in newly presenting non-
21 muscle-invasive bladder cancer. BJU Int 2013;112:1096–104.
- 22